Journal of Medicinal Plant Research

Volume 9 Number 40, 25 October, 2015 ISSN 1996-0875



ABOUT JMPR

The Journal of Medicinal Plant Research is published weekly (one volume per year) by Academic Journals.

The Journal of Medicinal Plants Research (JMPR) is an open access journal that provides rapid publication (weekly) of articles in all areas of Medicinal Plants research, Ethnopharmacology, Fitoterapia, Phytomedicine etc. The Journal welcomes the submission of manuscripts that meet the general criteria of significance and scientific excellence. Papers will be published shortly after acceptance. All articles published in JMPR are peerreviewed. Electronic submission of manuscripts is strongly encouraged, provided that the text, tables, and figures are included in a single Microsoft Word file (preferably in Arial font).

Contact Us

Editorial Office:	jmpr@academicjournals.org
Help Desk:	helpdesk@academicjournals.org
Website:	http://www.academicjournals.org/journal/JMPR
Submit manuscript online	http://ms.academicjournals.me/

Editors

Prof. Akah Peter Achunike Editor-in-chief Department of Pharmacology & Toxicology University of Nigeria, Nsukka Nigeria

Associate Editors

Dr. Ugur Cakilcioglu *Elazıg Directorate of National Education Turkey.*

Dr. Jianxin Chen

Information Center, Beijing University of Chinese Medicine, Beijing, China 100029, China.

Dr. Hassan Sher Department of Botany and Microbiology, College of Science, King Saud University, Riyadh

Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

Dr. Jin Tao

Professor and Dong-Wu Scholar, Department of Neurobiology, Medical College of Soochow University, 199 Ren-Ai Road, Dushu Lake Campus, Suzhou Industrial Park, Suzhou 215123, P.R.China.

Dr. Pongsak Rattanachaikunsopon

Department of Biological Science, Faculty of Science, Ubon Ratchathani University, Ubon Ratchathani 34190, Thailand.

Prof. Parveen Bansal

Department of Biochemistry Postgraduate Institute of Medical Education and Research Chandigarh India.

Dr. Ravichandran Veerasamy AIMST University

Faculty of Pharmacy, AIMST University, Semeling -08100, Kedah, Malaysia.

Dr. Sayeed Ahmad

Herbal Medicine Laboratory, Department of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Jamia Hamdard (Hamdard University), Hamdard Nagar, New Delhi, 110062, India.

Dr. Cheng Tan

Department of Dermatology, first Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Univeristy of Traditional Chinese Medicine. 155 Hanzhong Road, Nanjing, Jiangsu Province, China. 210029

Dr. Naseem Ahmad

Young Scientist (DST, FAST TRACK Scheme) Plant Biotechnology Laboratory Department of Botany Aligarh Muslim University Aligarh- 202 002,(UP) India.

Dr. Isiaka A. Ogunwande

Dept. Of Chemistry, Lagos State University, Ojo, Lagos, Nigeria.

Editorial Board

Prof Hatil Hashim EL-Kamali Omdurman Islamic University, Botany Department, Sudan.

Prof. Dr. Muradiye Nacak Department of Pharmacology, Faculty of Medicine, Gaziantep University, Turkey.

Dr. Sadiq Azam Department of Biotechnology, Abdul Wali Khan University Mardan, Pakistan.

Kongyun Wu Department of Biology and Environment Engineering, Guiyang College, China.

Prof Swati Sen Mandi Division of plant Biology, Bose Institute India.

Dr. Ujjwal Kumar De Indian Vetreinary Research Institute, Izatnagar, Bareilly, UP-243122 Veterinary Medicine, India. Dr. Arash Kheradmand Lorestan University, Iran.

Prof Dr Cemşit Karakurt *Pediatrics and Pediatric Cardiology Inonu University Faculty of Medicine, Turkey.*

Samuel Adelani Babarinde Department of Crop and Environmental Protection, Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Ogbomoso Nigeria.

Dr.Wafaa Ibrahim Rasheed Professor of Medical Biochemistry National Research Center Cairo Egypt.

Journal of Medicinal Plants Research

Table of Contents: Volume 9Number 4025 October, 2015

ARTICLES	
Determination of antioxidant, radical scavenging activity and total phenolic compounds of Artocarpus heterophyllus (Jackfuit) seeds extracts Lígia Moura Burci, Cristiane Bezerra da Silva, Maislian de Oliveira, Luciane Dalarmi, Sandra Maria Warumby Zanin Obdúlio Gomes Miguel	1013
Josiane de Fátima Gaspari Dias and Marilis Dallarmi Miguel Essential oil of parsley and fractions to in vitro control of cattle ticks and dengue mosquitoes Janaina Camilotti, Leticia Ferarrese, Wanessa de Campos Bortolucci, José Eduardo Gonçalves, Orlando Seiko Takemura, Ranulfo Piau Junior, Odair Alberton, Giani Andrea Linde and Zilda Cristiani Gazim	1021

academicJournals

Vol. 8(40), pp. 1013- 1020, 25 October, 2015 DOI: 10.5897/JMPR2015.5926 Article Number: 221415956085 ISSN 1996-0875 Copyright © 2015 Author(s) retain the copyright of this article http://www.academicjournals.org/JMPR

Journal of Medicinal Plants Research

Full Length Research Paper

Determination of antioxidant, radical scavenging activity and total phenolic compounds of *Artocarpus heterophyllus* (Jackfuit) seeds extracts

Lígia Moura Burci^{*}, Cristiane Bezerra da Silva, Maislian de Oliveira, Luciane Dalarmi, Sandra Maria Warumby Zanin, Obdúlio Gomes Miguel, Josiane de Fátima Gaspari Dias and Marilis Dallarmi Miguel

Postgraduate Program in Pharmaceutical Sciences (PPGCF), Universidade Federal do Paraná (UFPR), Av. Lothario Meissner, s/n, Jardim Botânico, 81530-900 Curitiba, PR, Brazil.

Received 12 August, 2015; Accepted 19 October, 2015

Plants and their parts are a part of life in many brazilian communities, as observed in the jackfruit. The jackfruit seeds are consumed usually as roasted, boiled, steamed, and are eaten as a snack. The present study was carried out to identify the antioxidant, radical scavenging activity and total phenolic compounds of Artocarpus heterophyllus seeds using different screening tests. Total antioxidant activity was determined by ferric thiocyanate method (FTC), which was shortly followed by complexing of fosfomolybdenium method (FSB) determined in sequence with total reductive capability (TRC), hydrogen peroxide scavenging activity (HPS), DPPH free radical scavenging activity, and Total phenolic content (TPC) by Folin-Ciocalteu reagent assay method. The percentage of inhibition linoleic acid peroxidation by CEE (ethanolic extract), HF (hexanic extract), CHLF (chloroform extract) and EAF (ethyl acetate extract) (90.53, 70.23, 68.72 and 92.03%, respectively), in method using prussian blue antioxidant potential for EAF (89,05%) presented antioxidant activity greater than the standard rutin (82.3%). Highest total antioxidant activity was exerted by the ethanolic extract of A. heterophyllus seeds, and lowest by its EAF (188.83%). In evaluating the antioxidant activity by the hidrogen peroxide scavenging activity, it was observed that the CHLF and HF extracts showed scavenging activity above 50% (83.3 and 83.5%, respectively). The EC₅₀ (free radical scavenger) values of CEE, HF, CHLF and EAF were 76.71, 399.64, 534.83 and 65.51 µg/ml, respectively, and the highest total phenolic content appeared to be present in 125 µg/ml of EAF. The results of all the sources were found to be highly significant. Further investigation for isolation and identification of the phytoconstituents responsible for antioxidant activity is desirable. A. heterophyllus seeds in the regular diets could improve the antioxidant status of human beings.

Key words: Jackfruit, antioxidant activity, DPPH radical, radical scavenging, seeds.

INTRODUCTION

Jackfruit (*Artocarpus heterophyllus* L.) is a shrub belonging to Moraceae family and is widely distributed in tropical countries such as Brazil, Thailand, Indonesia, India, Philippines and Malaysia (Chowdhury et al., 1997).

Jackfruit is composed of several berries of yellow pulp and brown seeds encased in a hard shell and are rich in carbohydrates, complex B vitamins and minerals (Silva et al., 2007). Jackfruit is 2 to 4 cm long, and a fruit can contain between 100 to 500 seeds. The seeds are consumed usually as roasted, boiled, steamed, and eaten as a snack. However, fresh seeds have short shelflife.

To explore the health-promoting functionalities of locally available, culturally acceptable, and economically viable Brazilian foods, it is important to focus on their bioactive compounds. Among the various bioactive substances, phenolic compounds are the most abundant antioxidants in commonly consumed foods of plant origin.Cellular oxidants, called reactive oxygen species (ROS), are constantly produced in animal and human cells and play dual role of being both deleterious and beneficial to biological systems (Rudolf, 2001). Apart from their role in the diseased conditions in the body, ROS are also known to have a role in the spoilage of food by the autoxidation of lipids, the enzymatic oxidation, during storage and processing in fats, oils and fat-containing foods (Matthaus, 2002). Antioxidants are defined as substances present at low concentration, relative to the oxidizable substrate, which significantly delay or prevent oxidation of substrate (Godfraind, 2005). In the body, antioxidants act as free radical scavengers and thus protect cells from being exposed to free radicals and further cellular damage. This is the mechanism by which they protect the human body from several diseases attributed to the reactions of radicals.

The oxidative stress leads to serious damage to macromolecules, such as proteins and DNA. However, free radical production can be eliminated by the action of endogenous enzymes, as well as by the synthetic antioxidant activity (Halliwell 1990, 1997). Antioxidants act by several mechanisms, including the prevention of transition metal ions- chelation catalysts, peroxidase decomposition and free radicals elimination (Valko et al., 2006).

The addition of jackfruit seed flour in the preparation of biscuits, sweets and breads has been investigated as an alternative use of this by-product (Aldana et al., 2011; Bobbio et al., 1978; Mukprasit and Sajjaanantakul, 2004). Therefore, in the present study, the seeds of *A. heterophyllus* were evaluated for their antioxidant activity.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals

Linoleic acid, α -tocopherol, butylated hydroxyanisole (BHA), butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT), nitroblue tetrazolium (NBT), phenazine methosulphate (PMS), the stable free radical 1,1diphenyl-2-picryl-hydrazyl (DPPH-), 3-(2-pyridyl)-5,6-bis (4-phenylsulfonic acid)-1,2,4-triazine (Ferrozine), trichloroacetic acid (TCA) and polyoxyethylenes orbitan monolaurate (Tween-20) were obtained from Sigma (Sigma-Aldrich, Brazil). Ammonium thiocyanate was purchased from Merck. All other chemicals used were of analytical grade and obtained from either Sigma-Aldrich or Merck.

Plant materials and extraction procedures

Seeds of *A. heterophyllus* were collected in Arapongas - Brazil, in September, 2014. The material was identified by the botanist José Tadeu Weidlich Motta of the Municipal Botanical Museum of Curitiba and the exsiccate deposited under the number 397798. After drying in the shade, 80 g of seeds were submitted to ethanol extraction, following by liquid-liquid partition with hexane, cloroform and ethyl acetate, using Sohxlet, as per methodology described in previous studies (Andrade et al., 2005, 2007; Carvalho et al., 2009). After the evaporation of the solvents under reduced pressure and temperature of 40°C, a 0.53 g of hexane fraction, 1.89 g of ethyl acetate fraction, 0.3 g of cloroform, and 0.11 g of ethanol fraction were obtained.

Total antioxidant activity determination by ferric thiocyanate method (FTC)

The antioxidant activity of ethanolic extract (CEE), hexanic extract (HF), chloroform extract (CHLF) and ethyl acetate extract (EAF) and standards was determined according to the ferric thiocyanate method, with modifications (Mitsuda et al., 1996). For preparation of stock solutions, 2 mg of each CEE, HF, CHLF and EAF was dissolved in 10 ml water. HF and CHLF was previously solubilized in methanol. Then, 250 µl of the solution, which contained concentrations of stock CEE, HF, CHLF and EAF solution (200 µg/ml) or standard samples (200 µg/ml) in 2 ml of potassium phosphate buffer (0.04 mol/L, pH 7.0), was added to 550 µl of linoleic acid emulsion in potassium phosphate buffer (0.04 mol/L, pH 7.0), ethanol (PA) 250 µl, and 900 µl of water was added. The mixed solution (3.95 ml) was incubated at 50°C in a polyethylene flask. The peroxide level was determined by reading the absorbance at 500 nm in a spectrophotometer (Bio-Crom GmbH, Zurich, Switzerland) after reaction with FeCl₂ and thiocyanate at intervals during incubation. During the linoleic acid oxidation, peroxides are formed, which oxidize ${\rm Fe}^{*2}$ to ${\rm Fe}^{*3}.$ The latter ions form a complex with thiocyanate and this complex has a maximum absorbance at 500 nm. Five (5 ml) linoleic acid emulsion contained 17.5 µg Tween-20, 15.5 µl linoleic acid and 0.04 M potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0). On the other hand, the 5 ml control was composed of 2.5 ml linoleic acid emulsion and 2.5 ml 0.04 M potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0). This step was repeated every 5 h until the control reached its maximum absorbance value. Therefore, high absorbance indicates a high linoleic acid emulsion oxidation. Solutions without added extracts were used as blank samples. All data on total antioxidant activity is the average of triplicate analyses. The percentage inhibition of lipid peroxidation in linoleic acid emulsion was calculated by following equation.

Inhibition of lipid peroxidation Sample Control (%) =100 \times (A_{control} - A_{sample}) / A_{control}

Where $A_{control}$ is the absorbance of the control reaction and A_{sample} is the absorbance in the presence of the sample of CEE, HF, CHLF and EAF or standard compounds.

*Corresponding author. E-mail: ligia.burci@gmail.com.

Author(s) agree that this article remain permanently open access under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution</u> License 4.0 International License

Total reductive capability (TRC)

The reducing power of CEE, HF, CHLF and EAF was determined by the method of Yen and Chen (1995). HF and CHLF was previously solubilized in methanol. Concentrations of CEE, HF, CHLF and EAF (200 μ g/ml) in 1 ml of distilled water were mixed with phosphate buffer (2.5 ml, 0.2 M, pH 6.6) and potassium ferricyanide [K₃Fe(CN)₆] (2.5 ml, 1%). The mixture was incubated at 50°C for 20 min. Aliquots (2.5 ml) of trichloroacetic acid (10%) were added to the mixture, which was then centrifuged for 10 min at 1000 × g (MSE Mistral 2000, UK). The upper layer of the solution (2.5 ml) was mixed with distilled water (2.5 ml) and FeCl₃ (0.5 ml, 0.1%) and the absorbance was measured at 700 nm in a spectrophotometer. Increased absorbance of the reaction mixture indicates increased reducing power.

Evaluation of antioxidant activity by complexing fosfomolybdenum (FSB) method

This method, described by Prieto et al. (1999) is based on the reduction of molybdenum (VI) to molybdenum (V) which occurred in the presence of certain substances with antioxidant capacity, with formation of a complex between phosphate green/molybdenum (V), acid pH, which is determined spectrophotometrically at 695 nm. The phosphomolibdenium complex was formed by the reaction of Na₃PO₄ solution (28 ml, 0.1 mol/L) with solution of (NH₄) 6Mo₇O₂₄.4H₂O (12 ml, 0,03 mol/L) H₂SO₄ solution (20 ml, 3 mol/L) in an aqueous medium. The final volume was adjusted with distilled water to 100 ml, and the yellow color turned green as it reduced. For the preparation of the phosphomolibdenium complex, all reagents were transferred into a volumetric flask and the volume was completed to 100 ml with distilled water. CEE, HF, CHLF and EAF were prepared in aqueous solutions with final concentration of 200 µg/ml, using a minimum amount of methanol to dissolve the sample when necessary. Of these, 0.3 ml were taken and added to 1 ml of reagent solution fosfomolibdenio complex.

The tubes were closed and kept in a water bath at 95°C for 90 min. After cooling, the reading was carried out at 695 nm in a Shimadzu UV-1601 spectrophotometer, to obtain the absorbance, using distilled water as the blank. The antioxidant capacity of the samples was expressed relative to ascorbic acid (200 μ g/ml) which was used as standard and rutin (200 μ g/ml) whose antioxidant activity was considered a reference. Ascorbic acid is one of the most effective and best known biological antioxidant (Darr et al., 1996). For this test we used the following samples in triplicate: ethanol crude extract, and fractions hexane, chloroform and ethyl acetate.

The results of the evaluation of the antioxidant activity were expressed as relative antioxidant activity AAR% (ascorbic acid) and AAR% (rutin). The calculations were established by means of the equations:

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{AAR\%} \ (\mathsf{Ascorbic} \ \mathsf{acid}) = 100 \ x \ (\mathsf{A}_{\mathsf{sample}} - \mathsf{A}_{\mathsf{blank}}) / \ (\mathsf{A}_{\mathsf{ascorbic} \ \mathsf{acid}} - \mathsf{A}_{\mathsf{blank}}) \\ \mathsf{AAR\%} \ (\mathsf{rutin}) = 100 \ x \ (\mathsf{A}_{\mathsf{sample}} - \mathsf{A}_{\mathsf{blank}}) / \ (\mathsf{A}_{\mathsf{rutin}} - \mathsf{A}_{\mathsf{blank}}) \\ \end{array}$

Hydrogen peroxide scavenging activity (HPS)

The hydrogen peroxide scavenging ability of CEE, HF, CHLF and EAF was determined according to the method of Ruch et al (1989). A solution of H_2O_2 (40 mM) was prepared in phosphate buffer (pH 7.4). Extracts (500 µg/ml) in distilled water, using as amount minimum methanol to dissolve the sample were added to a H_2O_2 solution (0.6 ml, 40 mM). The absorbance value of the reaction mixture was recorded at 230 nm. Blank solution contained the phosphate buffer without H_2O_2 . The percentage of H_2O_2 scavenging of CEE, HF, CHLF and EAF and standard compounds was

calculated as:

% Scavenging effect =100 × (A_{control} – A sample) / A_{control}

Where $A_{Control}$ is the absorbance of the control, and A_{Sample} is the absorbance in the presence of the sample of CEE, HF, CHLF and EAF or standards (Gülçin et al., 2003).

1,1-Diphenyl-2-picryl-hydrazyl (DPPH) free radical scavenging activity

The free-radical scavenging capacity of CEE, HF, CHLF and EAF was evaluated with the DPPH stable radical following the methodology described by Blois (1958). This method is described extensively elsewhere (Elmastas et al., 2006), wherein the bleaching rate of a stable free radical, DPPH is monitored at a characteristic wavelength in the presence of the sample. In its radical form, DPPH was absorbed at 517 nm, but upon reduction by an antioxidant or a radical species, its absorption decreased (Elmastas et al., 2006). Briefly, 0.1 mmol/L solution of DPPH- in ethanol was prepared and 1 ml of this solution was added to 3 ml of CEE, HF, CHLF and EAF solution in water at different concentrations (25 to 500 µg/ml). Thirty minutes later, the absorbance was measured at 517 nm. A lower absorbance of the reaction mixture indicates higher free radical scavenging activity. The DPPH concentration (mM) in the reaction medium was calculated from the following calibration curve, determined by linear regression (R2 = 0.990):

Absorbance = $9.2872 \times [DPPH \cdot] + 0.097$

The capability to scavenge the DPPH- radical was calculated using the following equation:

DPPH scavenging = $100 \times (A_{control} - A_{sample})/A_{control}$

Where $A_{Control}$ is the absorbance of the control reaction and A_{Sample} is the absorbance in the presence of CEE, HF, CHLF and EAF (Gülçin et al., 2005).

Total phenolic compounds (TPC)

The content of phenolic compounds in CEE, HF, CHLF and EAF was performed based on colorimetric Folin-Ciocalteu method (Kujala et al., 2000; Wu et al., 2005; Meda et al., 2005), with some modifications. Rates of CEE, HF, CHLF and EAF were diluted with distilled water to obtain concentrations of 25 to 100 mg/ml. To the 0.5 ml of each sample was added 0.5 ml of 2N Folin-Ciocalteau reagent and 1.0 ml of water. After a period of 2 to 5 min, to the tubes was added 0.5 ml of sodium carbonate (Na₂CO₃) at 10%. After 1 h incubation at room temperature, absorbance was measured in spectrophotometer at 760 nm using distilled water was used for standardize the phenolic concentration curve preparation, and values were expressed as gallic acid equivalents (mg gallic acid/g sample).

Statistical analysis

All data on total antioxidant activity are the average of triplicate analyses. The data were recorded as mean \pm standard deviation and analysed by Graph Pad Prism (version 6.0). Oneway analysis of variance was performed by ANOVA procedures. Significant differences between means were determined by Duncan's multiple range tests. Values of p < 0.05 were regarded as significant and p < 0.01 very significant.

Parameter	AAR% rutin (x±dp)	AAR % ascorbic acid (x±dp)
CEE	701.00±4.52	219.62±1.41
HF	635.24±4.24	199.01±1.33
CHLF	607.29±4.69	190.26±1.47
EAF	602.74±2.34	188.83±0.73

Table 1. Antioxidant activity by complexing method fosfomolibdenio in the crude ethanolic extract (CCE), and hexanic (HF), chloroformic (CHLF) and ethyl acetate (EAF) extracts in *A. heterophyllus* seeds.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Results of different assays performed for all the extracts are presented in tables and graphs.

Total antioxidant activity determination by ferric thiocyanate method (FTC).

The antioxidant effects of *A. heterophyllus* seeds prevented the peroxidation of linoleic acid, as measured by thiocyanate method (Figure 1). We have found the anti-oxidative property of these four extracts (CEE, HF, CHLF and EAF). The percentage of inhibition linoleic acid peroxidation by CEE, HF, CHLF and EAF (90.53, 70.23, 68.72 and 92.03%, respectively) were simmilar with the pattern BHT (di-terc-butil metil fenol) (78.32%) as shown in Figure 1. These results demonstrate the importance of the extracts in preventing oxidation as substances such as cell membranes.

Methods to evaluate the lipid peroxidation are important since they measure the action of a free radical on cell membrane lipids, leading to destruction of its structure, the failure mechanism of exchange metabolites, and in an extreme condition, to cell death (Benzie, 1996). In the ferric thiocyanate method, the present peroxides oxidize Fe^{2+} to Fe^{3+} , which is determined by colorimetry (500 nm) as ferric chloride or thiocyanate (Silva et al., 1999). In this assay, hydroperoxides generated during the oxidation of linoleic acid react with ferrous sulfate to give ferric sulfate and then ferric thiocyanate and blood red color. The decrease in absorbance discloses interrupting the oxidation due to the unavailability of linoleic acid in the reaction medium and the appearance of secondary products derived from the degradation of hydroperoxides (Chen et al., 1996).

Total reductive capability (TRC).

The reduction of a capacity fraction serves as an indicator of its antioxidant potential. In evaluating the antioxidant activity by reducing power method (Prussian Blue), an antioxidant potential for EAF (89.05%)

presenting antioxidant activity upper to the standard rutin (82.3%) was observed. The other extracts did not show 50% activity compared to Rutin, as showed in Figure 2.

Methods based on the reduction of Fe^{3+,} determining the reducing power are also used to evaluate antioxidant activity. These methods assess the ability of phenolic compounds to reduce Fe^{3+} , with consequent formation of a colored complex with Fe^{2+} (Roginsky et al., 2005). The reducing power was observed by direct donation of electrons in the reduction of potassium ferricyanide $[Fe(CN)_6]^{3-}$ potassium ferrocyanide $[Fe(CN)_6]^{4-}$. The product was visualized by the addition of Fe³⁺ ions which form complex Prussian Blue, Fe₄[Fe(CN)₆]³. This method is considered very effective when the substances present phenolic compounds. Thus this test is to quantify either total phenols, and to determine the antioxidant activity (Monteiro et al., 2005). In vitro studies indicate that phenolic compounds found in plants can effectively participate in processes that may have anti-carcinogenic implications. Among these processes, the most obvious is the antioxidant capacity of these compounds reducing power allocated to the aromatic hydroxyl group, which reduces reactive free radical, and radical fenoxila produces stabilized resonance. Antioxidant capacity of phenolic compounds is influenced by the number and position of the OH groups, as well as by glycosylation positions (Cerqueira, 2007).

The assay of reducing power becomes important in the analysis of substances for purposes of comparison with the activity of DPPH. The advantage of this test lies in the fact that trials in less soluble fractions of activity may have their particular activity. Huang et al. (2005) found that extracts obtained from edible fuits have excellent antioxidant power reduction, and considering that the reducing power of the ethyl acetate extract was superior to commercial standard rutin, it can be considered that this has a high antioxidant activity.

Evaluation of antioxidant activity by complexing method fosfomolibdenio (FSB)

Different extracts showed the total antioxidant activity in a wide range as shown in Table 1. Highest total antioxidant



Figure 1. Antioxidant activity of standard BHT, crude ethanolic extract (CCE), and hexanic (HF), chloroformic (CHLF) and ethyl acetate (EAF) extracts in *A. heterophyllus* seeds by thiocyanate method. None of statements presented statistical difference when compared to standard bht. Dunnet test (p <0.05).



Figure 2. Antioxidant activity of pattern Rutin, crude ethanolic extract (CCE), and hexanic (HF), chloroformic (CHLF) and ethyl acetate (EAF) extracts in *A. heterophyllus* seeds by reducing power (Prussian blue). *significantly different when compared to Rutin. Dunnet test (p <0.05).

activity was exerted by the ethanolic extract of *A.* heterophyllus seeds, and lowest by its EAF (188.83%). All extracts showed that close activities were all included possible antioxidants. Ascorbic acid (200 μ g/ml), used as a positive control, registered an activity 100%. In the test of the antioxidant fosfomolibdenio, the results indicate that all extracts from *A.* heterophyllus seeds, to reduce the molybdenum VI to molybdenum V, can inhibit the action of xanthine oxidase. Xanthine oxidase (XO) is the enzyme responsible for processing both hypoxanthine to xanthine to uric acid, as this, in the physiological environment, is in the form of urate. The hypoxanthine and xanthine are much more soluble than uric acid and

the latter may deposit as sodium urate, a major contributor to the development of the condition known as gout (Tsutomu et al., 1991). Thus, extracts and fractions which had antioxidant activity by this method may have their activities investigated in the treatment of gout disease.

Hydrogen peroxide scavenging activity (HPS)

In evaluating the antioxidant activity by the hydrogen peroxide scavenging activity, it was observed that the CEE and EAF extracts did not show activity similar to



Figure 3. Antioxidant activity by , crude ethanolic extract (CCE), and hexanic (HF), chloroformic (CHLF) and ethyl acetate (EAF) extracts in *A. heterophyllus* seeds compared with Ascorbic acid by H_2O_2 scavenging method. Dunnet test (p <0.05).

standard ascorbic acid. However, the CHLF and HF extracts showed scavenging activity above 50% (83.3 and 83.5%, respectively) (Figure 3). Although hydrogen peroxide is not a free radical, it is involved directly or indirectly in different pathologies. In chemical terms, H₂O₂ is poorly reactive, however it plays an important role in oxidative stress by being able to cross cellular membranes easily and generate the hydroxyl radical (•OH) (Aruoma et al., 1989). Hidrogen peroxide can readily cross cell membranes and once inside the cell, the hydrogen peroxide can react with Fe²⁺ ions to form hydroxyl radicals which may cause toxic effects. Therefore, it is advantageous to biologically cells which control the amount of hydrogen peroxide (Nagulendran et al., 2007). Thus, the fractions of CHLF and HF with higher antioxidant activity have shown to be promising in the study of antioxidant activity in the scavenging of H_2O_2 .

1,1-Diphenyl-2-picryl-hydrazyl (DPPH) free radical scavenging activity

DPPH, a stable free radical with characteristics of absorption at 515 nm, was widely utilized to appraise the radical scavenging activity of extracts. Highest and lowest activity was exerted, respectively, in EAF and HF extract of *A. heterophyllus* seeds (Figure 4). Extracts prepared in polar solvents are better free radical scavengers than those prepared in less polar solvents, as evident from the fact that hexane extracts exhibited least DPPH scavenging ability. The potency of the extracts in scavenging the radicals is due to the number of hydrogens available for donation by the hydroxyl groups (Chen and Ho, 1995). Higher activity of the EAF extract may be due to the presence of high hydroxyl groups, which is proportionate to their phenolic content. Free radicals act as a trigger to a number of degenerative diseases. Therefore, samples having free radical scavenging activity can be of potent medicinal importance. The significant increase in DPPH free radical scavenging power of CEE, HF, CHLF and EAF were observed in a concentration-dependent fashion (Figure 4), confirming the free radical scavenging activity of *A*. *heterophyllus* seeds. The EC₅₀ (free radical scavenger) values of CEE, HF, CHLF and EAF were 76.71, 399.64, 534.83 and 65.51 µg/ml, respectively. Ascorbic acid, a potent antioxidant, had lower EC₅₀ value (4.92 µg/ml) than EAF. Ascorbic acid and rutin was used as a positive control.

Total phenolic compounds (TPC)

Plant phenolics present in fruits and vegetables have received considerable attention because of their potential antioxidant activity. Total phenolic content of different extracts was recorded in the range of 3.034 to 5.72 µg GAE of samples tested. The highest total phenolic content appeared to be present in 125 µg/ml of EAF. The concentrations of 25 and 50 µg/ml of the samples appeared to be poor in extracting phenolics. Fruits contain phytochemicals which have antioxidants known as polyphenols, which can strengthen the defense response in the body through different antioxidant mechanisms such as eliminating harmful free radicals in cells and likely tissues. Consequently, the antioxidant activity of a fruit is an important parameter to consider for the establishment of its nutritional value (Rice-Evan and Miller, 1996).

Epidemiological research has established an association between high intake of polyphenols derived



Figure 4. Curves of Ascorbic acid, Rutin, crude ethanolic extract (CCE), and hexanic (HF), chloroformic (CHLF) and ethyl acetate (EAF) extracts in *A. heterophyllus* seeds in DPPH inhibition.

from fruit and a reduced risk of certain cancers and cardiovascular disease (Fuhrman et al., 1995; Potter, 2005). These compounds exert their bioactivity of protection due to its antioxidant capacity. Polyphenols can wipe out the spread of harmful free radicals to the body, which causes cellular lipid peroxidation by transferring an equivalent electron radical. Although the role that these substances play in maintaining health are neglected in most food composition surveys. Thus, these studies show that, possibly the polyphenols present in the samples tested exert the different antioxidant activity and may act in the scavenging of H2O2, inhibition of peroxidation rate of lipids and inhibition of xanthine oxidase. Subsequent isolation studies and identification of chemical substances with antioxidant capacity should be investigated.

Other species of the *Artocarpus* genus have this parts studied for antioxidant activity, but the parts studied were

leaves and fruit. *A. heterophylus* exhibited significant antioxidant activity studied its pulp presenting antioxidant capacity against DPPH radical, ferric reducing power and radical DMPD was studied. The jackfruit is a rich source of phytochemicals including phenolic compounds and offers opportunities for development of value-added products from jackfruit (Jagtap et al., 2010).

Conclusion

The results of the present study indicated that *A. heterophyllus* seeds showed antioxidant activity. The recorded remarkable antioxidant property in terms of reducing power, DPPH and superoxide radical scavenging activities indicate that incorporation of the seeds with food is complementary. Finally, this study has identified that CHLF and CEE extract of *A. heterophyllus*

seeds has high antioxidant activity (attributable to its high phenolic content), and EAF and CHLF extracts has high radical scavenging activity. The presence of antioxidant activity in extracts prepared in all the different solvents (which differ widely in their polarity) indicate that phytochemicals contributing to the antioxidant activity of the seeds tested belong to different groups of plant metabolites and varies widely with respect to their chemical properties. Further investigation for isolation and identification of the phytoconstituents responsible for antioxidant activity is desirable. *A. heterophyllus* seeds in the regular diets could improve the antioxidant status of human beings.

Conflict of Interests

The authors have not declared any conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- Aldana DLM, Gómez BT, Oca MMM, Ayerdi SGS, Meraz FG, Pérez LAB (2011). Isolation and characterization of Mexican jackfruit (*Artocarpus heterophyllus* L) seeds starch in two mature stages. Starch/Stärke 63:364-372.
- Andrade CA, Costa CK, Bora K, Miguel MD, Miguel OG, Kerber VA (2007). Determinação do conteúdo fenólico e avaliação da atividade antioxidante de Acacia podalyriifolia A. Cunn. ex G. Don, Leguminosae-mimosoideae. Rev. Bras. Farmacogn. 17:231-235.
- Andrade CA, Peitz C, Cunico M, Carvalho JLS, Abrahao WM, Miguel MD, Miguel OG, Kerber VA (2005). Avaliação da atividade antibacteriana e triagem fitoquímica das flores de Acacia podalyriifolia A. Cunn. ex. G. Don Leguminosae-Mimosoidea. Rev. Bras. Farmacogn. 15(1):13-15.
- Aruoma OI, B Halliwell B, Laughton MJ, Quinlan GJ, Gutteridge JM (1989). The mechanism of initiation of lipid peroxidation. Evidence against a requirement for an iron(II)-iron(III) complex. Biochem. J. 258:617-620.
- Benzie IF (1996). Lipid peroxidation: a review of causes, consequences, measurement and dietary influences. Int. J. Food Sci. Nutr. 47(3):233-61.
- Blois MS (1958). Antioxidant determinations by the use of a stable free radical. Nature 29:1199-1200.
- Bobbio FO, El-Dash AA, Bobbio PA, Rodrigues LR (1978). Isolation and characterization of the physicochemical properties of the starch of Jackfruit seeds (*Artocarpus heterophyllus*). Cereal Chem. 55:505-511.
- Carvalho JLS, Cunico MM, Dias JFG, Miguel MD, Miguel OG (2009). Term-stability of extractive processes from *Nasturtium officinale* R. Br., brassicaceae for Soxhlet modified system. Quim. Nova 32(4):1031-1035.
- Chen CW, Ho CT (1995). Antioxidant properties of polyphenols extracted from green and black tea. J. Food Lipids 2:35-46.
- Chen H-M, Muramoto K, Yamauchi F, Nokihara K (1996). Antioxidant Activity of Designed Peptides Based on the Antioxidative Peptide Isolated from Digests of a Soybean Protein. J. Agric. Food Chem. 44(9):2619-2623.
- Chowdhury FA, Rahman MA, Mian AJ (1997). Distribution of free sugars and fatty acids in jackfruit (*Artocarpus heterophyllus*). Food Chem. 60(1):25-28.
- Darr D, Dunston S, Faust H, Pinnell S (1996). Effectiveness of antioxidants (vitamin C and E) with and without sunscreens as topical photoprotectants. Acta Derm. Venereol. 76(4):264-268.
- Elmastas M, Gulcin I, Beydemir S, Ku freviog lu OI, AboulEnein HY (2006). A study on the *in vitro* antioxidant activity of juniper(*Juniperus communis* L.) seeds extracts. Anal. Lett. 39(1):47-65.

Fuhrman B, Lavy A, Aviram M (1995). Consumption of red wine with

meals reduces the susceptibility of human plasma and low-density lipoprotein to lipid peroxidation. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 61:549-54.

- Godfraind T (2005). Antioxidant effects and the therapeutic mode of action of calcium channel blockers in hypertension and atherosclerosis. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. 360(1464):2259-2272.
- Gülçin I (2005). The antioxidant and radical scavenging activities of black pepper (*Piper nigrum*) seeds. Int. J. Food Sci. Nutr. 56:491-499.
- Gülçin I, Buyukokuroglu ME, Oktay M, Kufrevioglu IO, (2003). Antioxidant and analgesic activities of turpentine of *Pinus nigra* Arn. Subsp. Pallsiana (Lamb.) Holmboe. J. Ethnopharmacol. 86:51-58.
- Halliwell B (1990). How to characterize a biological antioxidant. Free Rad. Res. Commun. 9(1):1-32.
- Halliwell B (1997). Antioxidants: the basics-what they are and how to evaluate them. Adv. Pharmacol. 38:3-20.
- Huang D, Ou B, Prior RL (2005). The Chemistry behind antioxidant capacity assays. J. Agric. Food Chem. 53:1841-1856.
- Jagtap UB, Panaskar SN, Bapat VA (2010). Evaluation of Antioxidant Capacity and Phenol Content in Jackfruit (*Artocarpus heterophyllus* Lam.) Fruit Pulp. Plant Foods Hum. Nutr. 65:99-104.
- Kujala TS, Loponen JM, Klika KD, Pihlaja K (2000). Phenolics and betacyanins in red beetroot (*Beta vulgaris*) root: Distribuition and effect of cold storage on the content of total phenolics and three individual compounds. J. Agric. Food Chem. 48:5338-5342.
- Matthaus B (2002). Antioxidant activity of extracts obtained from residues of different oil seeds. J. Agric. Food Chem. 50:3444-3452.
- Meda A, Lamien CE, Romito M, Millogo J, Nacoulma OG (2005). Determination of the total phenolic, flavonoid and proline contents in Burkina Fasan honey, as well as their radical scavenging activity. Food Chem. 91:571-577.
- Mitsuda H, Yuasumoto K, Iwami K (1996). Antioxidation action of indole compounds during the autoxidation of linoleic acid. J. Jpn. Soc. Food Nutr. 19:210-214.
- Mukprasit A, Sajjaanantakul K (2004). Physico-chemical properties of flour and starch from jackfruit seeds (*Artarpus heterophyllus* Lam.) compared with modified starches. International J. Food Sci. Technol. 39:271-276.
- Nagulendran KR, Velavan S, Mahesh R, Hazeena V (2007). In Vitro Antioxidant Activity and Total Polyphenolic Content of Cyperus rotundus Rhizomes. J. Chem. 4(3):440-449.

Potter JD (2005). Vegetables, fruit, and cancer. Lancet 366:527-30.

- Prieto P, Pinedo M, Aguilar M (1999). Spectrophotometric quantitation of antioxidant capacity though the formation of phosphomolybdenum complex: specific application to the determination of vitamin E. Anal. Biochem. 269(2):337-341.
- Rice-Evans CA, Miller JN, Paganga G (1996). Structure-antioxidant activity relationships of flavonoids and phenolic acids. Free Radic. Biol. Med. 20:933-956.
- Roginsky V, Lissi EA (2005). Review of methods to determine chain breaking antioxidant activity in food. Food Chem. 92(2):235-254.
- Ruch RJ, Cheng SJ, Klaunig JE (1989). Prevention of cytotoxicity and inhibition of intercellular communication by antioxidant catechins isolated from chinese green tea. Carcinogenesis 10(6):1003-1008.
- Rudolf S (2001). The benefits and hazards of antioxidants: controlling apoptosis and other protective mechanisms in cancer patients and the human population. J. Am. Coll. Nutr. 20:464-472.
- Silva FAM, Borges MFM, Ferreira MA (1999). Métodos para avaliação do grau de oxidação lipídica e da capacidade antioxidante. Química Nova 22:94-103.
- Silva JH, Jordão Filho J, Ribeiro MLG, Silva EL (2007). Efeitos da inclusão do farelo de sementes de jaqueira *Artocarpus heterophyllus* (Lam.) na ração sobre a produção, pigmentação da gema e umidade fecal em codornas. Ciênc. Agrotec. 31:2.
- Valko M, Rhodes CJ, Moncol J, Izakovic M, Mazur M (2006). Free radicals, metals and antioxidants in oxidative stress-induced cancer. Chem. Biol. Interact. 160(1):1-40.
- Wu JH, Tung YT, Wang SY, Shyur LF, Kuo YH, Chang ST 2005. Phenolic antioxidants from the heartwood of *Acacia confusa*. J. Agric. Food Chem. 53:5917-5921.
- Yen GC, Chen HY (1995). Antioxidant activity of various tea extracts in relation to their antimutagenicity. J. Agric. Food Chem. 43(1):27-32.

academicJournals

Vol. 9(40), pp. 1021- 1030, 25 October, 2015 DOI: 10.5897/JMPR2015.5941 Article Number: 9AA238556088 ISSN 1996-0875 Copyright © 2015 Author(s) retain the copyright of this article http://www.academicjournals.org/JMPR

Journal of Medicinal Plants Research

Full Length Research Paper

Essential oil of parsley and fractions to *in vitro* control of cattle ticks and dengue mosquitoes

Janaina Camilotti¹, Leticia Ferarrese¹, Wanessa de Campos Bortolucci¹, José Eduardo Gonçalves², Orlando Seiko Takemura¹, Ranulfo Piau Junior³, Odair Alberton¹, Giani Andrea Linde¹ and Zilda Cristiani Gazim¹*

¹Programa de Pós-Graduação em Biotecnologia Aplicada à Agricultura. Universidade Paranaense, Umuarama, Praça Mascarenhas de Moraes, 4282, 87502-210 Umuarama, Paraná, Brasil.

²Programa de Pós-Graduação em Promoção da Saúde e em Tecnologias Limpas. Centro Universitário de Maringá, UniCESUMAR, Av. Guerdner no 1610, Jd. Aclimação, Maringá, PR, Brasil.

³Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ciência Animal. Universidade Paranaense, Umuarama, Praça Mascarenhas de Moraes, 4282, 87502-210 Umuarama, Paraná, Brasil.

Received 30 August, 2015; Accepted 15 October, 2015

The essential oil of Petroselinum crispum was extracted by hydrodistillation and its chemical composition was determined by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC/MS). The GC/MS method detected 31 constituents of the essential oil extracted from *P. crispum*, from which 26 were identified by the analysis. Phenylpropanoids were the major compounds, comprising 52.07% of the oil and consisting mainly of apiole (41.05%). The essential oil was subjected to classical chromatography and its four fractions (FR1, FR2, FR3 and FR4) showed acaricidal and larvicidal activity. The FR1 consists of myrcene (10.15%); limonene (9.72%); p-mentha-1,4,(8)-diene (20.99%) and p-mentha-1,5,8-triene (59.14%). The FR2 consists of ρ-cymen-8-ol (72.43%); α-terpineol (16.65%); trans-carveol (7.44%); citronellol (1.37%) and carveol (2.11%). The FR3 consists of myristicin (99.90%) and the FR4 of elemicin (1.87%); carotol (0.43%) and apiole (96.80%). Lethal concentrations (LC50 and LC99.9) of parsley's essential oil on engorged female of Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus were of 73, 400 ug/ml and of 109, 760 µg/ml, respectively. The values of LC50 and LC99.9 were 0.82 and 9.62 µg/ml, respectively for the fraction FR4. The larvicidal activity against Aedes aegypti showed the best results in the fraction FR4, with values of LC50 and LC99.9 of 0.01 and 0.07 µg/ml, respectively. Results demonstrated that the parsley's essential oil and its major compound apiole are potentially useful in the bio-control of R. (B.) microplus and A. aeqypti. This work should support complementary studies to explore the use of the parsley's essential oil in the bio-control of R. (B.) microplus and A. aegypti.

Key words: Apiole, phenylpropanoids, monoterpenes, essential oil, parsley, *Aedes aegypti*, Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus, anticholinesterase.

INTRODUCTION

The veterinary industry is continuously challenged to develop new chemical solutions because of pests'

resistance to anti-parasitic products. Acaricide resistance is a big issue to the Brazilian cattle livestock since ticks

are responsible for significant economic losses and transmission of hemoparasites (Olivo et al., 2008). The continuous use of chemical acaricide, such as pyrethroid, organophosphate, amitraz, ivermectin and fipronil (Kafle et al., 2012), has caused tick resistance. The resistance to chemical larvicides is also a serious problem in the combat of Aedes aegypti L. (Diptera, Culicidae). For the culicidae larva control, chemical insecticides such as temephos[®], malathion[®] and fenitrothion[®] are the main products applied currently. These chemicals cause mosquito resistance repeatedly described in Brazil and worldwide (Magalhães et al., 2010), Furthermore, environmental impacts caused by these substances have demanded urgent alternatives for manufacturing commercial acaricides and larvicides with environmental appeal (Chagas et al., 2003).

The Petroselinum crispum (Mill.) Nym. ex A. W. Hill belongs to the Apiaceae family. It is a well-known spice plant widely cultivated in Brazil. The parsley is used in both the cosmetic industry and alternative medicine. The parsley's essential oil (EO) obtained from seeds and leaves has medicinal properties as anti-hepatotoxic, antihypertensive, anti-coagulant (Petropoulos et al., 2009), anti-microbial (Petropoulos et al., 2010) and anti-oxidant (Zhang et al., 2006). In the parsley's EO there are two phenylpropanoids: apiole and myristicin main (Petropoulos et al., 2009). Song et al. (2011) showed that apiole obtained from Petroselinum sativum seeds has high acaricidal activity against the house-dust and storedfood mites (Dermatophagoides farinae, Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus and Tyrophagus putrescentiae). A number of phenylpropanoid derivatives (isoeugenol, eugenol and safrole) also had acaricidal activity against D. farinae and D. pteronyssinus (Chu et al., 2011). Ferraz et al. (2010) suggested that phenylpropanoids of the EO obtained from Piper amalago, Piper mikanianum and Piper xylosteoides were the main reason for acaricidal activity against R. (B.) microplus. However, there was no conclusive study on the acaricidal and larvicidal activities of apiole and phenylpropanoids against cattle ticks (R. (B.) microplus) and dengue mosquitos (A. aegypti).

Therefore, the aim of this study was to isolate the main chemical compounds of the EO of *P. crispum*, which is a source of phenylpropanoides and apiole, and evaluate its acaricidal and larvicidal activities against *R.* (*B.*) *microplus* and *A. aegypti.* Alternative solutions like the insect and tick control from the parsley's EO reported in this study are highly demanded for the production of proenvironmental products to control pests as well as to avoid pest resistance.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant material and essential oil

P. crispum was identified and the exsiccate (numbered 192) was maintained at the Educational Herbarium of the Paranaense University (Herbário Educacional da Universidade Paranaense -HEUP). The crop was cultivated in the Medicinal Garden of the Paranaense University (Horto Medicinal da Universidade Paranaense), Umuarama city, Paraná, Brazil, at coordinates S 23° 46, 225' and WO 53° 16 730', at 391 m high. The planting occurred in January, 2013. Non-tillage in lines was the crop system used, which is recommended for short-cycle and medium-to-small species (up to 1 m height) like P. crispum. Three planting lines were used and the spacing between them was 0.40 m. Spacing between plants was 0.30 m. Three seeds were planted per hill at a depth of 0.02 m (Kurowska and Galaska, 2006). Plants were harvested at 30 cm height and at the peak of flowering, when the inflorescence was visible (Kurowska and Galaska, 2006). Aerial parts were cut at 2 to 3 cm above soil, yellow and crushed leaves were removed. Plant material was harvested in the morning, the dew and rainy days were avoided. Plant material was subjected to hydrodistillation in Clevenger apparatus by 2 h (Stankovic et al., 2004) and then the EO was removed from equipment by a Pasteur pipette, filtered with anhydrous sodium sulfate (NA₂SO₄) and stored in amber vials at -20°C.

Separation of essential oil compounds

The technique used to obtain the EO compounds was classical chromatography (CC) with silica gel 60 (0.063 to 0.200 mm) at 1:25 and pre-heated at 90°C for 45 min. The essential oil of *P. crispum* (2.0 g) was subjected to column chromatography (Diogolab, 30 \times 3.5 cm) and sequentially eluted with hexane (100%), hexane: dichloromethane (7:3, 1:1 and 3:7), dichloromethane (100%), dichloromethane: ethyl acetate (7:3, 1:1 and 3:7), ethyl acetate (100%), ethyl acetate: methanol (7:3 1:1 and 3:7), and methanol (100%). Fractions (FRs) were concentrated in rotary evaporator (Tecnal TE-210) to reduce the volume to approximately 2 ml.

Chemical composition of essential oil

Chemical composition of EO and their FRs was obtained by GC-MS with 5973 N Mass Selective Detector and Agilent 6890N GC System equipped with a 5% phenylmethylsiloxane capillary column (DB-5) (30 m × 0.25 mm × 0.25 μ m film thickness), using helium carrier gas at 4.8 ml/min and 2.1:1 split mode. The temperatures of transference line, ion source and quadrupole were maintained at 320, 230 and 150°C, respectively. The column was programmed to heat at 6°C/min, initially from 40°C to reach 300°C (maintained for 1 min). The total time of analysis was of 44.33 min. The mass spectra

*Corresponding author. E-mail: cristianigazim@unipar.br.

Author(s) agree that this article remain permanently open access under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution</u> <u>License 4.0 International License</u> were obtained in a range of 50 to 550 (*m/z*) provided through scanmode with solvent delay time of 3.5 min. In addition to GC-MS results, eo chemical compounds were also identified by comparing retention indexes (RI) using a homologous series of n-alkanes (C7-C26). Mass spectra were then compared with the Wiley 275 L mass spectral library and literature (Adams, 2007).

Acaricidal effect on the R. (B.) microplus

The acaricidal effect of EO and FRs (FR1, FR2, FR3 and FR4) of P. crispum on R. (B.) microplus was determined by the adult immersion test (AIT) originally developed by Drummond et al. (1973); and also by the larval pocket test (LPT) described by Stone and Haydock (1962). The EO of P. crispum and its FRs were diluted in water solution added with 2% of polysorbate 80 (v/v). The 2% polysorbate 80 (v/v) solution was used for negative control. The positive control was made with a commercial acaricide containing 150,000 µg/ml of cypermethrin, 250,000 µg/ml of chlorpyrifos and 100,000 µg/ml of citronellal. The commercial acaricide was prepared in 2% polysorbate 80 at 1,250 µg/ml. In the AIT, approximately 100 fully engorged females of R. (B.) microplus were collected from infested cattle in a farm at Umuarama, Parana, Brazil. Then, ticks were cleaned with water purified and selected according to their aspects of normal appearance, regular motility, integrity of body, and full engorgement. Groups of 30 females each were immersed in different concentrations of EO for 5 min. Each female was individually weighed and maintained in petri dishes at 28°C and 80% relative humidity (RH) for 14 days to monitor oviposition. Egg masses were obtained from each female, placed in sample tubes, and kept at 28°C and 80% (RH) for 21 days to hatching. Larvae were killed with sulfuric ether and counted with magnifying glass in order to obtain the rate of hatching. From the tick mass, egg mass and hatching rate data, the reproductive efficiency was computed as RE = [(egg mass (g) x % hatching x 20,000)/(tick mass)(g)]; and product efficiency as PE = [(RE (negative control) \times RE (treatment group) \times 100)/RE(negative control)] (Drumond et al., 1973). For the larval packet test (LPT), 100 larvae were placed between filter papers (2 x 2 cm) freshly impregnated with EO dilutions to form envelopes, which were sealed and kept in petri dishes. The envelopes were maintained at 28°C and 80% (RH). After 24 h, living larvae were isolated from dead with the help of a magnifying glass. All tests were performed in triplicate and larvae mortality was computed as the following: LM = [(dead larvae × 100)/(total larvae)]; and mean mortality as MM = (LM 1st repetition + LM 2nd repetition + LM 3rd repetition)/3.

Larvicidal effect on A. aegypti

The third stage larvae of *A. aegypti* were used for bio-tests. They were originated from the Control Center of Endemic Diseases Transmitted by Vectors - Umuarama City, Paraná. The EO and FRs from *P. crispum* were diluted on 2% polysorbate 80 (v/v) solutions. The negative control was a 2% polysorbate 80 solution and the positive control was made with an organophosphate-based temephos[®]. Ten third stage larvae of *A. aegypti* were taken on Pasteur pipette and placed into 250 ml vials with 10 ml solution containing different concentrations of EO or the isolated. The number of dead larvae was counted after 24 h (Cavalcanti et al., 2004).

Anticholinesterase activity

The method described by Yang et al. (2009) was used to determine the anticholinesterase activity through an autobiographic assay. The EO and FRs obtained by CC were solubilized in methanol indifferent concentrations from 100,000 to 0.012 µg/ml. The samples were applied to aluminum chromatoplates (10 x 10 cm, silica Gel 60 F₂₅₄ with 0.2 mm thickness) where a decreasing series of spots with different amounts of EO and FRs was obtained. For chromatographic development, a mixture of 9:1 dichloride methane/methanol was utilized. After sample migration and drying to eliminate solvents, an enzyme solution (Acetylcholinesterase in Tris buffer solution) was spraved on the chromatoplate, followed by a solution of α -naphthyl acetate. Partial drying was done in the plate that was kept in water bath (37°C) for 20 min for enzyme incubation. Then, the chromatoplate was sprayed with colorimetric Fast Blue B salt reagent resulting in a purple color surface on the chromatoplate for 5 min. By analyzing the absence of purple color, the anticholinesterase activity was determined.

Statistical analysis

Tests were performed in triplicate. Acaricidal and larvicidal activities were analyzed by frequency distribution with Bioestat[®] (version 5.3) (Kamirauá Institute for Sustainable Development, Manaus, Tefé). Values for LC₅₀ and LC_{99.9} were obtained in Microsoft Excel[®] (versionExcel[®] 2010) (Microsoft, Redmond, Washington, United States) by linearization of the response curve. The confidence intervals were calculated using Assistat (version 7.7) INPI 0004051-2 developed by prof. Dr. Francisco de A. S. e Silva from Federal University of Campina Grande, Brazil distributed for free.

RESULTS

Chemical identification

In the essential oil - extracted from P. crispum, 31 constituents were detected and 26 were identified from GC-MS analysis and listed by elution time (Table 1). Phenylpropanoides were the dominant compounds, comprising 52.07% of the oil and consisting mainly of apiole (41.05%) and myristicin (5.08%). The percentage of monoterpene hydrocarbons was 20.30% that were represented by p-mentha-2,4,(8)-diene (6.06%) and pmentha-1,5,8-triene (5.95%). Oxygenated monoterpenes comprised 18.76% of the total EO; for this group the main constituents were neral (4.56%) and *cis*-carveol (4.04%). Sesquiterpene hydrocarbons comprised just 8.87% of the total EO. The classical chromatography technique clustered 7 FRs from EO. Among these, four FRs showed acaricidal and larvicidal activities and were submitted to GC/MS for identification. Fraction 1 (FR1) was obtained with eluent hexane (100%) and presented: myrcene (10.15%); limonene (9.72%); p-mentha-1,4,(8)diene (20.99%) and *p*-mentha-1,5,8-triene (59.14%). Fraction 2 (FR2) was obtained with dichloromethane: ethyl acetate (7:3) and presented the following compounds: ρ-cimen-8-ol (72.43%); α-terpineol (16.65%);

Peak ^a Constituent		RI⁵	Area (%)	Methods of identification
1	α-pinene	929	0.73	b,c
2	β-Pinene	952	0.57	b,c
3	Myrcene	974	1.29	b,c
4	Limonene	1012	2.75	b,c
5	p-mentha-2,4,(8)-diene	1048	6.06	b,c
6	<i>p</i> -cymenene	1065	2.95	b,c
7	p-mentha-1,5,8-triene	1097	5.95	b,c
8	<i>m</i> -Cymen-8-ol	1143	1.37	b,c
9	n.i	1144	0.82	b,c
10	ρ-cimen-8-ol	1150	1.15	b,c
11	α-terpineol	1170	1.03	b,c
12	trans-carveol	1174	1.44	b,c
13	α- methy-Cinnamaldehyde	1193	3.27	b,c
14	n.i	1199	0.53	b,c
15	Citronellol	1202	2.86	b,c
16	Cis-carveol	1231	4.04	b,c
17	n.i	1237	0.96	b,c
18	Neral	1245	4.56	b,c
19	α-copaene	1370	0.72	b,c
20	β-elemene	1397	0.91	b,c
21	α-guaiene	1427	2.32	b,c
22	α-humulene	1440	0.99	b,c
23	cis-β-farnesene	1460	0.83	b,c
24	β-ionone	1481	0.55	b,c
25	β-bisabonele	1500	1.68	b,c
26	Germacrene A	1516	0.87	b,c
27	Myristicin	1521	5.08	b,c
28	Elemicin	1553	0.79	b,c
29	Apiole	1641	41.05	b,c
30	n.i	1695	0.82	b,c
31	n.i	1721	1.06	b,c
Total identified		95.	81%	-
Compound	groups (%)			
Monoterpen	e hydrocarbons	-	20.30	-
Oxygenated	monoterpenes	-	18.76	-
Sesquiterpe	ne hydrocarbons	-	8.87	-
Oxygenated	sesquiterpenes	-	0.00	-
Phenylpropa	anoids	-	52.07	-

Table 1. Chemical composition of the essential oil obtained from leaves and stems of P. crispum.

^bIdentification based on retention index (RI) using n-alkane C7 - C26 on DB-5 column; ^cidentification based on comparison of mass spectra; ^aCompounds listed in order of elution from DB-5 column. n.i. = not identified.

trans-carveol (7.44%); citronellol (1.37%) and carveol (2.11%). Fraction 3 (FR3) was obtained with hexane:dichloromethane (3:7) and presented myristycin

(99.90%) mostly. Fraction 4 (FR4) was obtained with dichloromethane (100%) and presented Myristycin (0.90%); elemicin (1.87%); carotol (0.43%) and

Essential oil concentration (µg/ml)	Female mortality (%)	Egg mass (g)	Percentage of hatching (%)	Product efficiency (%)
PC	100 ± 0.00	0 ± 0.00	0 ± 0.00	100.00
100,000	100 ± 0.00	0 ± 0.00	0 ± 0.00	100.00
80,000	70.8 ± 0.35	0.05 ± 0.00	13.2 ± 0.53	65.53
70,000	48.4 ± 0.15	0.06 ± 0.00	25.7 ± 4.49	60.03
60,000	27.3 ± 0.10	0.07 ± 0.00	37.6 ± 1.79	59.79
50,000	16.7 ± 0.02	0.07 ± 0.00	44.89 ± 1.89	58.18
40,000	5.3 ± 0.01	0.073 ± 0.00	65.15 ± 0.61	32.45
25,000	0 ± 0.00	0.09 ± 0.00	80.45 ± 1.60	11.32
12,500	0 ± 0.00	0.08 ± 0.00	80.86 ± 5.00	7.45
NC	0 ± 0.00	0.08 ± 0.00	93.49 ± 3.24	0.00

Table 2. Means ± (standard error) of female mortality (%), egg mass (g), percentage of hatching (%) and product efficiency (%) from engorged females of *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus* subjected to adult immersion test at different concentrations of essential oil of *P. crispum*.

PC = positive control [commercial solution containing 150,000 µg/ml cypermethrin, 250,000 µg/ml of chlorpyrifos and 10,000 µg/ml of citronellal prepared in 2% polysorbate 80 at 1,250 µg/ml]; NC = negative control [2% polysorbate 80 (v/v) water solution].

apiole (96.80%).

Acaricidal and larvicidal effect on R. (B.) microplus

Results to acaricidal activity (Table 2) indicate that the EO of P. crispum at 100,000 µg/ml caused 100% mortality in the tick females; similar results were obtained with the positive control that is a commercial mixture of 150,000 µg/ml of cypermethrin, 250,000 µg/ml of chlorpyrifos and 10,000 µg/ml of citronellal. The hatching rates were reduced by the increasingly oil concentrations: at 100,000 µg/ml of EO no hatching larvae was found (Table 2). The product efficiency ranged from 100 to 58.18% as the concentrations varied from 100,000 to 50,000 µg/ml. Within the concentration range from 40,000 to 12,500 µg/ml, product efficiency was lower than 50%. Lethal concentrations (LC50 and LC99.9) of parsley's EO on engorged female were of 73,400 µg/ml (71,240 to 78,450) and of 109,760 µg/ml (106,540 to 117,320), respectively. The EO and FRs of P. crispum were active in the control of R. (B.) microplus larvae (Tables 3 and 5). The FR4, which presented apiole as the main compound (96.80%), showed the highest larvicidal activity with LC_{50} of 0.82 μ g/ml and LC_{99.9} of 9.62 μ g/ml. These results were similar to the positive control that presented LC_{50} and LC_{99.9} of 0.45 and 4.62 µg/ml, respectively.

Larvicide effect on A. aegypti

EO and FRs had high mortality potential against *A. aegypti* larvae (Tables 4 and 5). The best result was for FR4 with LC_{50} of 0.01 µg/ml and $LC_{99.9}$ of 0.07 µg/ml and

96.80% of apiole. The FR4 results were better than the positive control, which showed LC_{50} and $LC_{99.9}$ of 0.05 and 0.30 µg/ml, respectively. Intermediate activity was verified for FR1 and FR3 with LC_{50} of 0.49 and 0.88 µg/ml, and $LC_{99.9}$ of 1.28 and 2.73 µg/ml, respectively (Table 5). The FR1 is composed of: myrcene: 10.15%; limonene: 9.72%; *p*-mentha-1,4,(8)-diene: 20.99% and *p*-mentha-1,5,8-triene: 59.14%; while FR3 has 99.90% of myristycin.

Anticholinesterase activity

Preliminary lab tests for EO and FRs demonstrated that these substances have inhibited the acetyl cholinesterase activity (Table 6). The quantitative analysis of acetylcholinesterase (AChE) activity revealed that the highest enzymatic inhibition occurred for EO and FR4 at 0.012 μ g/ml, which agrees with the highest activities against *A. aegypti* larvae. The FR3 showed low potential for enzyme inhibition, suggesting that the larvicide action against *A. aegypti* is related to another mechanism as perhaps the opening of the sodium channels (Soderlund et al., 2002).

DISCUSSION

In this work, the main compound of parsley's EO was the apiole. Kurowska and Galazka (2006) found α -pineno (32.0%) as the major constituent of the EO of *P. crispum* cultivated in Poland, followed by β -pineno (19.0%), myristicin (18.3%) and apiole (10.1%). Stankovic et al.

Concentration (µg/ml)	EO (%)	FR1	FR2	FR3	FR4	PC (%)	NC (%)
100,000	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	0.00
50,000	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	0.00
25,000	100.00	90.62	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	0.00
12,500	100.00	72.21	83.33	100.00	100.00	100.00	0.00
6,250	100.00	60.71	70.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	0.00
3,125	100.00	49.99	50.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	0.00
1,562	100.00	38.51	40.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	0.00
781.00	100.00	34.91	22.70	100.00	100.00	100.00	0.00
390.00	100.00	15.62	10.00	70.10	100.00	100.00	0.00
195.00	100.00	9.37	8.33	47.40	100.00	100.00	0.00
97.65	100.00	0.00	0.00	33.70	100.00	100.00	0.00
48.83	100.00	0.00	0.00	12.00	100.00	100.00	0.00
24.41	100.00	0.00	0.00	9.75	100.00	100.00	0.00
12.21	100.00	0.00	0.00	4.33	100.00	100.00	0.00
6.10	76.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	88.20	100.00	0.00
3.05	58.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	85.70	97.20	0.00
1.52	23.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	73.20	88.70	0.00
0.76	13.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	58.40	72.90	0.00
0.38	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	37.80	50.00	0.00
0.19	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.40	35.50	0.00
0.09	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.90	15.70	0.00
0.047	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.23	2.37	0.00

Table 3. Mortality rates (%) of larvae of *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus* subjected to larval immersion test at different concentrations of EO, FR1, FR2, FR3 and FR4 (%) obtained from *P. crispum*.

FR1: myrcene (10.15%); limonene (9.72%); *p*-mentha-1,4,(8)-diene (20.99%) and *p*-mentha-1,5,8-triene (59.14%).FR2: *p*-cimen-8-ol (72.43%); α -terpineol (16.65%); *trans*-carveol (7.44%); citronellol (1.37%) and carveol (2.11%). FR3: myristicin (99.90%).FR4: myristicin (0.90%); elemicin(1.87%); carotol (0.43%); apiole (96.80%).PC = positive control [commercial solution containing 150,000 µg/ml cypermethrin, 250,000 µg/mL of chlorpyrifos and 10,000 µg/ml of citronellal prepared in 2% polysorbate 80 at 1,250 µg/ml]; NC = negative control [2% polysorbate 80 (v/v) water solution].

(2004) found that apiole (57.0%), α -thujone (10.7%), benzene-1,2-dicarboxylic acid (9.0%) and myristicin (9.7%) were the major constituents of essential oil of P. crispum cultivated in Serbia and Montenegro. Zhanget al. (2006) evaluated the oil composition of parsley cultivated in China and observed that myristicin (32.75%), apiole (17.54%), α-pinene (16.64%), β-pinene (11.54%) and 2.3.4.5-tetramethoxy-allylbenzene 1 (10.0%) were the major oil constituents. Knioetal. (2008) reported sabinene (21.14%), p-Mentha-1,5,8-triene (8.25%) and apiole (7.65) as the main compounds of parsley's EO from the local market in Beirut, Lebanon, This diverse chemical composition could be a result of genetic and environmental factors that can affect the secondary metabolism. Among these factors, it is worth mentioning plant germplasm diversity, their ages the and development stages, as well as their interactions with microorganisms and insects. In addition, abiotic factors like luminosity, temperature, rainfall, nutrition, time of the day for harvest and post-harvest techniques are also important to form the chemical composition of Eos (Morais and Castanha, 2012). Although many variations in the EO composition of *P. crispum* were already reported in literature, the apiole and myristicin have always appeared as the major constituents of parsley's EO, which agrees with the results of this work.

Literature has shown a series of advantages in the use of EO for the control of ticks. Among them, low toxicity to mammalian, rapid degradation and slow development of resistance are common benefits of acaricides extracted from plants. Such benefits make bio-acaricides commercially attractive to control R. (B.) microplus with reduced hazard to environment. Essential oils of Eucalyptus citriodora, Eucalyptus globules and Eucalyptus staigeriana (Myrtaceae) have showed in vitro 100% control over cattle ticks at concentrations of 175,000, 150,000 and 125,000 µg/ml, respectively (Chagas et al., 2002). Olivo et al. (2008) observed in vitro 89.5% control at 100,000 µg/ml of EO of Cymbopogon nardus (Poaceae) on the cattle tick larvae. In our work,

Concentration (µg/ml)	EO (%)	FR1	FR2	FR3	FR4	PC (%)	NC (%)
97.65	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	0.00
48.83	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	0.00
24.41	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	0.00
12.21	90.00	100.00	75.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	0.00
6.10	70.00	100.00	50.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	0.00
3.05	40.00	100.00	32.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	0.00
1.52	20.00	100.00	20.00	70.00	100.00	100.00	0.00
0.76	12.60	90.00	13.70	58.00	100.00	100.00	0.00
0.38	5.00	47.00	8.77	21.66	100.00	100.00	0.00
0.19	0.00	32.00	0.00	12.00	100.00	92.00	0.00
0.09	0.00	18.70	0.00	5.33	100.00	73.00	0.00
0.047	0.00	9.30	0.00	0.00	90.00	50.00	0.00
0.024	0.00	3.78	0.00	0.00	75.00	43.00	0.00
0.011	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	55.00	37.20	0.00
0.0046	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	43.50	17.80	0.00
0.0023	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	36.30	5.00	0.00
0.0012	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	18.70	0.00	0.00
0.0006	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.08	0.00	0.00

Table 4. Mortality rates (%) of larvae of *Aedes aegypti* subjected to different concentrations of EO, FR1, FR2, FR3 and FR4 (%) obtained from *P. crispum.*

FR1: myrcene (10.15%); limonene (9.72%); *p*-mentha-1,4,(8)-diene (20.99%) and *p*-mentha-1,5,8-triene (59.14%).FR2: ρ -cimen-8-ol (72.43%); α -terpineol (16.65%); *trans*-carveol (7.44%); citronellol (1.37%) and carveol (2.11%).FR3: myristicin(99.90%). FR4: myristicin (0.90%); elemicin (1.87%); carotol(0.43%); apiole (96.80%).PC = positive control [commercial solution of organophosphate-based Temephós[®]].NC = negative control [2% polysorbate 80 (v/v) water solution].

the efficiency of *P. crispum* EO over females of *R. (B.)* microplus (100% with 100,000 μ g/ml) was higher than those reported in literature. Thus, the EO of *P. crispum* presented considerable acaricide activity on the cattle tick observed *in vitro* and such activity should be deeply investigated for field applications to control *R. (B.)* microplus.

In our work, apiole had the highest larvicidal activity with LC₅₀ of 0.82 μ g/ml and LC_{99.9} of 9.62 μ g/ml. The EO of Pipper mikanianum and Pipper xylosteoides (with 67.89 and 48.53% of phenylpropanoides, apiole and safrole, respectively) showed LC₅₀ of 2.33 µg/ml and 6.15 µg/ml against R. (B.) microplus larvae (Ferraz et al., 2010). For EO of Pipper aduncum (with 94.84% of dillapiole) just 0.1 µg/ml induced 100% mortality in larvae of R. (B.) microplus (Silva et al., 2009). Single chemical control is a concern, since populations of R. (B.) microplus are acquiring resistance to chemical acaricides. The chemical resistance is often found in regions favorable to tick development, as the major countries of Latin America, Central America, Australia and South Africa. In the Brazilian States of São Paulo, Mato Grosso do Sul, Rio Grande do Sul and Paraná, where the largest livestock producers are located, the tick populations are becoming increasingly resistant to different conventional acaricides (Madalena et al., 2012). Therefore, the EO of parsley and its main constituent apiole could be useful as part of an integrated pest management. Future studies are in progress by our group to search for synergistic effects of essential oils and chemical acaricides to increase the efficacy of these compounds in the control of R. (B.) microplus.

Research results on larvicidal activity of essential oils and isolated compounds to control A. aegypti are scarce. Rafael et al. (2008) isolated the dillapiole from leaves of Piper aduncum and the insecticidal activity against larvae and pupae of A. aegypti occurred at 200 and 400 µg/ml, respectively. In our work, the FR4 (with 96.80% of apiole) presented a small LC_{99,9} value of 0.07 µg/ml, which was lower than that reported by Rafael et al. (2008). So apiole seems to have a real potential to help the A. aegypti control; note that Rafael et al. (2008) also found that apiole performed better than the positive control. For EO of Citrus limon (with high limonene content) was reported low insecticidal activity against A. aegypti larvae with LC₅₀ of 95.8 mg/ml and LC₉₀ of 102.7 mg/ml (Furtado et al., 2005). Also, for limonene obtained from EO of Clausena excavate, Cheng et al. (2009) reported LC₅₀ of19.4 µg/ml. In our work, the FR1 (in which p-mentha represents 80.13%) showed LC_{99.9} of 1.28 μ g/ml, which

Table 5. Means of lethal concentrations (LC₅₀ and LC_{99.9} in µg/ml) of the essential oil of *Petroselinum crispum* and its fractions applied to larvae of *R. (B.) microplus* and *Aedes aegypti* followed by confidence interval by probit analysis.

	EO of P. crispum		I	FR1		FR2		FR3		FR4		°C
Parameter	LC ₅₀	LC _{99.9}	LC ₅₀	LC _{99.9}	LC ₅₀	LC _{99.9}	LC ₅₀	LC _{99.9}	LC ₅₀	LC _{99.9}	LC ₅₀	LC _{99.9}
	CI		CI		CI		CI		CI		CI	
Mortality larvae(%)	2.67	10.80	3,703.55	40,125.42	3,753.17	20,777.31	247.37	707.16	0.82	9.62	0.45	4.62
R. (B.) microplus	(2.59-2.85)	(10.49-11.55)	(3,595.00-3,958.80)	(38,949.35-42,890.87)	(3,643.16-4,011.84)	(20,168.33-22,209.29)	(240.12-264.42)	(686.43 -755.90)	(0.80-0.88)	(9.33- 10.28)	(0.44-0.48)	(4.48- 4.94)
Mortality larvae (%)	4.19	19.92	0.49	1.28	6.61	21.79	0.88	2.73	0.01	0.07	0.05	0.30
Aedesaegypti	(4.07-4.48)	(19.34-21.30)	(0.48-0.53)	(1.24-1.37)	(6.41-7.07)	(21.15-23.29)	(0.86-0.95)	(2.65-2.92)	(0.011-0.012)	(0.07- 0.08)	(0.04-0.05)	(0.29-0.32)

LC₅₀: Lethal concentration, 50%; LC_{99.9}: Lethal concentration, 99.9%.CI: Confidence interval.FR1:myrcene(10.15%); limonene (9.72%); *p*-mentha-1,4,(8)-diene (20.99%) and *p*-mentha-1,5,8-triene (59.14%).FR2: *p*-cimen-8-ol (72.43%); α-terpineol (16.65%); *trans*-carveol (7.44%); citronellol (1.37%) and carveol (2.11%). FR3: myristicin (99.90%).FR4: myristicin(0.90%); elemicin (1.87%); carotol (0.43%); apiole (96.80%).PC = Positive control on *R. (B.) microplus* [commercial solution containing 150,000 µg/ml cypermethrin, 250,000 µg/ml of chlorpyrifos and 10,000 µg/ml of citronellal prepared in 2% polysorbate 80 at 1,250 µg/ml]; Positive control on *Aedesaegypti* [commercial solution of organophosphate-based Temephós[®]].

was lower than the values reported in the literature. So the larvicidal activity of FR1 to A. aegypti seems to be related to the presence of pmentha. However, there is no report on the larvicidal activity of the p-mentha against A. aegypti. The EO of Pink Chablis bluebeard (Carvopteris x clandonensis 'Durio') compounded by 4.6% of trans-p-mentha-2,8-dien-1-ol, 4.5% of trans-p-mentha-1(7),8-dien-2-ol and 4.0% of cis-pmentha-2.8-dien-1-ol exhibited weak activity against mosquito larvae (Blythe et al., 2015). Although the larvicidal effect of FR1 (80.13% of pmentha compounds) to A. aegypti was 18 times less effective than FR4 (96.80% of apiole). Simas et al. (2004) showed that phenylpropanoids and sesquiterpene alcohols have higher larvicidal effect against A. aegypti. Myristycin, the main constituent of FR3, is the phenylpropanoid responsible by the larvicidal activity of this fraction. The other phenylpropanoids showed larvicidal activity to A. aegypti with LC₅₀ values as the following: 28 µg/ml for anethole (Morais et al.,

2006), 67 μ g/ml for E-methyl-cinnamate and 60 μ g/ml for eugenol. Knio et al. (2008) evaluated the Parsley's seed oil in the control of the seaside mosquito (*Ochlerotatus caspius*). The EO results showed LC₅₀ values of 34.3 and 23.4 mg/ml and LC₉₀ values of 62.2 and 42.2 mg/ml, for 24 and 48 h, respectively.

In this present work, an efficient *in vitro* control of larvae of *A. aegypti* was observed for the reduced concentrations of *P. crispum* EO and its FRs, mainly for FR4 (apiole). Thus, these substances are promising to substitute or complement synergistically chemical insecticides such as temephos[®], malathion[®], fenitrothion[®] on the control of *A. aegypti* mosquitoes. Importantly, the use of these commercial insecticides is the main action adopted by the Public Health Programs for mosquito control. However, chemical products cause pollution in the environment and also insect resistance to conventional insecticides was already observed in different regions of the world, including Brazil (Magalhães et al., 2010).

In this study, the high acaricide and larvicide potential of the parsley's EO and its FRs to control R. (B.) microplus and A. aegypti may be related to the inhibition of AChE (Souza et al., 2012). This hydrolyzes acetylcholine enzyme the neurotransmitter, being the major action of commercial acaricides. such as organophosphates chlorpyrifos. Differently, pyrethroids can prevent the closure of the voltagegated sodium channels in the axonal membranes so that the nerves cannot repolarize, leaving the axonal membrane permanently depolarized and thereby paralyzing the organism (Soderlund et al., 2002). Many essential oils and primarily (Picollo 2008). monoterpenes et al., sesquiterpenes (Fujiwara et al., 2010) and phenylpropanoids (Dohi et al., 2009) have AChE inhibitory activity. This could explain the high anticholinesterase activity for FR4, composed mostly for phenylpropanoid apiole. The phenylpropanoids were also the major compounds

 Concentration (µg/ml)	EO	FR1	FR2	FR3	FR4	PC (I)	PC (II)
 100,000	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
75,000	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
50,000	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
25,000	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
12,500	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
6,250	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
3,125	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
1,562	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
781.00	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
390.00	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
195.00	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
97.65	+	+	+	-	+	+	+
48.83	+	+	+	-	+	+	+
24.41	+	+	+	-	+	+	+
12.21	+	+	+	-	+	+	+
6.10	+	+	+	-	+	+	+
3.05	+	+	+	-	+	+	+
1.52	+	+	+	-	+	+	+
0.76	+	+	+	-	+	+	+
0.38	+	+	+	-	+	+	+
0.19	+	+	+	-	+	+	+
0.095	+	+	+	-	+	+	+
0.047	+	+	+	-	+	+	+
0.024	+	-	-	-	+	+	+
0.012	-	-	-	-	-	+	+

Table 6. Inhibitory concentration of *Petroselinum crispum* essential oil and fractions of the acetylcholinesterase after separation the autobiographic assay.

(+) inhibition f –acetylcholinesterase; (-)no enzyme inhibition. FR1: myrcene (10.15%); limonene (9.72%); *p*-mentha-1,4,(8)-diene (20.99%) and *p*-mentha-1,5,8-triene (59.14%). FR2: *p*-cimen-8-ol (72.43%); α -terpineol (16.65%); *trans*-carveol (7.44%); citronellol (1.37%) and carveol (2.11%).FR3: myristicin (99.90%). FR4: myristicin (0.90%); elemicin (1.87%); carotol (0.43%); apiole (96.80%). PC (I) = positive control [commercial solution containing 150,000 µg/mlcypermethrin, 250,000 µg/ml of chlorpyrifos and 10,000 µg/ml of citronellal prepared in 2% polysorbate 80 at 1,250 µg/ml]. PC (II) = positive control [commercial solution of organophosphate-based Temephós[®] 0.005%].

of EO (52.07%).

Conclusion

The EO and the FRs containing apiole and myristicin as the major compounds of *P.crispum* presented larvicidal and acaricidal activitiy on the *R*. (*B.*) *microplus* (cattle tick) and high larvicide potential to control the *A. aegipty* (dengue mosquito). The parsley's EO is promising to be used in the bio-control of *R*. (*B.*) *microplus* and *A.aegipty*. Further studies in living animals at field conditions are needed to confirm the acaricide and larvicide potential of the parsley's EO. In addition, proper concentrations and mode of application should be tested by exploring surfactant and synergistic effects from the mixture with other acaricides and larvicides.

Conflict of Interests

The authors have not declared any conflict of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank the Coordination for Improvement of Higher Education Personnel (Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior -CAPES), the Paranaense University (Universidade Paranaense - UNIPAR), and the Chemistry Institute of Federal University of Rio de Janeiro (Instituto de Química da Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro UFRJ-IQ) for their support.

REFERENCES

- Adams RP (2007). Identification of essential oil components by gas chromatography/mass spectroscopy. Carol Stream: Allured Publishing Corporation. P 804.
- Blythe EK, Tabanca N, Demirci B, Bernier UR, Agramonte NM, Ali A, Baser KHC, Khan IA (2015). Composition of the essential oil of Pink ChablisTM bluebeard (Caryopteris xclandonensis 'Durio') and its biological activity against the yellow fever mosquito *Aedes aegypti*. Nat. Volatiles Essent. Oils 2(1):11-21.
- Cavalcanti ESB, Morais SM, Lima MAA, Santana EWP (2004). Larvicidal activity of essential oils from Brazilian plants against *Aedes aegypti* L. Mem. Inst. Oswaldo Cruz. 98:541-544.
- Chagas ACS, Leite RC, Furlong J, Prates HT, Passos WM (2003). Sensibility of Boophilus microplus tick to solvents. Ciênc. Rural 33(1):109-114.
- Chagas ACS, Passos WM, Prates HT, Leite RC, Furlong JF, Pereira IC (2002). Acaricide effect of Eucalyptus spp essential oils and concentrated emulsion on Boophilus microplus. Braz. J. Vet. Res. Anim. Sci. 39(5):247-253.
- Cheng SS, Chang HT, Lin CY, Chen PS, Huang CG, Chen WJ, Chang ST (2009). Insecticidal activities of leaf and twig essential oils from Clausena excavate against *Aedes aegypti* and Aedes albopictus larvae. Pest. Manage. Sci. 65(3):339-343.
- Chu SS, Wang CF, Du SS, Liu SL, Liu ZL (2011). Toxicity of the essential oil of Illicium difengpi stem bark and its constituent compounds towards two grain storage insects. J. Insect Sci. 11(152):1-10.
- Dohi S, Terasaki M, Makino M (2009). Acetylcholinesterase inhibitory activity and chemical composition of commercial essential oils. J. Agric. Food Chem. 57:4313-4318.
- Drummond RO, Ernest SE, Trevino JL, Gladney WJ, Grhham OH (1973). Boophilus annulatus and Boophilus microplus (Acarine: Ixodidae) laboratory test of insecticides. J. Econ. Entomol. 66(1):130-133.
- Ferraz ABF, Balbino JM, Zini CA, Ribeiro VLS, Bordignon SAL, Von Poser G (2010). Acaricidal activity and chemical composition of the essential oil from three Piper species. Parasitol. Res. 107(1):243-248.
- Fujiwara M, Yagi N, Miyazawa M (2010). Acetylcholinesterase inhibitory activity of volatile oil from Peltophorum dasyrachis Kurz ex Bakar (yellow batai) and Bisabolane-type sesquiterpenoids. J. Agric. Food Chem. 58(5):2824-2829.
- Furtado RF, Lima MGA, Neto MA, Bezerra JNS, Silva MGV (2005). Larvicidal activity of essential oils against *Aedes aegypti* L. (Diptera: Culicidae). Neotrop. Entomol. 34(5):843-847.
- Kafle GM, Castro-Janer E, Mendes MC, Namindome A, Schumaker TT (2012). Applicability of *in vitro* bioassays for the diagnosis of ivermectin resistance in Rhipicephalus microplus (Acari: Ixodidae). Vet. Parasitol. 184:212-220.
- Knio KM, Usta J, Dagher S, Zournajian H, Kreydiyyeh S (2008). Larvicidal activity of essential oils extracted from commonly used in Lebanon against the seaside mosquito, *Ochlerotatus caspius*. Bioresour. Technol. 99:763-768.
- Kurowska A, Galaska I (2006). Essential oil composition of the parsley seed of cultivars marketed in Poland. Flavour Frag. J. 21:143-147.
- Madalena FE, Peixoto MGCD, Gibson J (2012). Dairy cattle genetics and its applications in Brazil. Livest. Res. Rural Dev. 24(6):97.
- Magalhães LAM, Lima MP, Marques MO, Facanali R, Pinto AC, Tadei WP (2010). Chemical composition and larvicidal activity against *Aedes aegypti* larvae of essential oils from four Guarea species. Molecules 15(8):5734-5741.

- Morais LAS, Castanha RF (2012). Chemical composition of sweet basil essential oil naturally submitted to Planococcus citri infestation. Horticult. Bras. 30(2):S2178-S2182.
- Morais SM, Cavalcanti ESB, Bertini LM, Oliveira CL, Rodrigues JRB, Cardoso JH (2006). Larvicidal activity of essential oils from Brazilian Croton species against *Aedes aegypti* L. J. Am. Mosq. Control Assoc. 22(1):161-164.
- Olivo CJ, Carvalho NM, Silva JHS, Vogel FF, Massariol P, Meinerz G, Agnolin C, Morel AF, Viau LV (2008). Citronella oil on the control of cattle ticks. Ciênc. Rural 38(2):406-410.
- Petropoulos SA, Daferera D, Polissiu G, Passam HC (2010). Effect of freezing, drying and the duration of storage on the composition of essential oils of plain-leafed parsley [*Petroselinum crispum* (Mill.) Nym.spp. Neapolitum Darnet] and turnip-rooted parsley [*Petroselinum crispum* (Mill.) Nym.spp. tuberosum (bernh.) Crov.]. Flavour Frag. J. 25:28-34.
- Petropoulos SA, Daferera D, Polissiu MG, Passam HC (2009). Effect nitrogen-application rate on the biomass, concentration, and composition of essential oils in the leaves and roots of three types of parsley. J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci. 172(2):210-215.
- Picollo MI, Toloza AC, Mougabure CG, Zygadlo J, Zerba E (2008). Anticholinesterase and pediculicidal activities of monoterpenoids. Fitoterapia 79(4):271-278.
- Rafael MS, Hereira-Rojas WJ, Roper JJ, Nunomura SM, Tadei WP (2008). Potential control of *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae) with *Piper aduncum* L. (Piperaceae) extracts demonstrated by chromosomal biomarkers and toxic effects on interphase nuclei. Genet. Mol. Res. 7(3):772-781.
- Silva WC, Martins JR, de Souza HE, Heinzen H, Cesio MV, Mato M, Albrecht F, de Azevedo JL, de Barros NM (2009). Toxicity of Piper aduncum L. (Piperales: Piperaceae) from the Amazon forest for the cattle tick Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus (Acari: Ixodidae). Vet. Parasitol. 164(2-4):267-274.
- Simas NK, Lima EC, Conceição SR, Kuster RM, Oliveira Filho AM (2004). Natural products for dengue transmission control–larvicidal activity of *Myroxylon balsamum* (red oil) and of terpenoids and phenylpropanoids. Quím. Nova. 27:46-49.
- Soderlund DM, Clark JM, Sheets LP, Mullin LS, Piccirillo VJ, Sargent D, Stevens JT, Weiner ML (2002). Mechanisms of pyrethroid neurotoxicity: Implications for cumulative risk assessment. Toxicology 171:3-59.
- Song HY, Yang JY, Suh JW, Lee HS (2011). Acaricidal Activities of Apiole and its Derivatives from *Petroselinum sativum* seeds against *Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus*, *Dermatophagoides farinae*, and *Tyrophagus putrescentiae*. J. Agric. Food Chem. 59(14):7759-7764.
- Souza SP, Valverde SS, Silva RLNR, Lima KSC, Lima ALS (2012). Essential oils as acetylcholinesterase inhibitors. Revista Fitos. 7:259-266.
- Stankovic M, Nikolic N, Stanojevic L, Cakic MD (2004). The effect of hydrodistillation technique on the yield and composition of essential oil from the seed of *Petroselinum crispum* (Mill.) Nym. Ex. A.W. Hill. Chem. Ind. 58(9):409-412.
- Stone BF, Haydock KP (1962). A method for measuring the acaricide susceptibility of the cattle tick *Boophilus microplus* (Can.). Bull. Entomol. Res. 53(3):563-578.
- Yang Z, Zhang X, Duan D, Song Z, Yang M, Li S (2009). Modified TLC bioautographic method for screening acetyl cholinesterase inhibitors from plant extracts. J. Sep. Sci. 32(18):3257-3259.
- Zhang H, Cheng F, Wang X, Yao HY (2006). Evaluation of antioxidant activity of parsley (*Petroselinum crispum*) essential oil and identification of its antioxidants constituents. Food Res. Int. 39:833-839.

Journal of Medicinal Plant Research

Related Journals Published by Academic Journals

 African Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmacology
Journal of Dentistry and Oral Hygiene
International Journal of Nursing and Midwifery
Journal of Parasitology and Vector Biology
Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytotherapy
Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health Sciences

academiclournals